

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
AM DEPARTMENT OF PATENTS
WWW.uspid.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/991,466	11/21/2001	Coming Chen	UMC-98-048 CON	3828
7:	590 01/05/2004		EXAMINER	
William J. Ku	bida	BARRECA, NICOLE M		
HOGAN & HA	ARTSON LLP			
Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1200 17th Street			1756	
Denver, CO 8	30202			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2 30	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$			
Advisory Action	09/991,466	CHEN ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Nicole M. Barreca	1756				
		•				
THE REPLY FILED 25 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension						
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate or the final Configuration of the final Con	opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
<ul><li>(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	i.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(     explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-10</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	_•				
10. Other:						

## - Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

The same rejection using the Jang reference was presented in both the (first) non-final rejection and the (second) final rejection and therefore use of the Jang reference is not an issue that was newly raised by the examiner in the final rejection. The certified English translation of the foreign priority document, attempting to remove the Jang reference as prior art, will therefore not be considered at this time. There is no reason why the applicant could not have submitted the certified English translation in response to the previous non-final rejection in order to perfect their foreign priority date. The double patenting rejection was also not an issue that was newly raised by the examiner in the final rejection.

NICOLE BARRECA PATENT EXAMINER

Morlefo

Art Unit 1756 12/22/03